Insert No. 8
Page 445

Queries by Mrs. Bolton (page 444):

- (I) Do you send more (employees) to Georgetown than you do to the institute?
- (2) I would like it very much if I might have from you an estimate of the value of the teaching of languages by the Institute.

Answers:

(1) For Fiscal Year 1958 our use of each institution, by language and number of students, was as follows:

ETCT

STAT

	181	Ceorgetown
Arabic		
Bulgarian		
Cambodian		
Chinese		
Czech		
Finnish		
French		
German		
Greek		
Hungarian		
Indonesian		
Italian		
Japanese		
Polish		
Portuguese		
Russian		
Serbo-Croatian		
Spanish		
Turkish		
TOTAL		
•		

Insert No. 8
Page 445

These figures reflect a trend which has developed over the past 3-4 years.

For example, in FY 1955 the distribution was students to Georgetown,

and to the Foreign Service Institute. Generally speaking, we now use

Georgetown largely for part-time after-hours instruction in languages which

happen not to be covered at a given time in our own out-of-hours program;

and very occasionally on a contract basis for some unusual requirement.

Our use of the Foreign Service Institute is generally for full-time training

assignments.

STAT

STAT

(2) We find the instruction furnished at the Foreign Service Institute in the various languages to be good. FSI pioneered in Government the modern methods of language teaching, combining use of scientific linguists, native-speaking informants or drill-masters, and audio equipment. Their courses are sound, are well adapted to the needs of the average student, and our cooperative relationships with FSI are quite satisfactory.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/05/16: CIA-RDP60-00321R000400110027-7